

ABSTRACTS of Papers to be read at the Annual Meeting

A Thelma Lavine, "Pragmatists and the Constitution in Modernism"

The social philosophy of American pragmatism in its consideration of the American Constitution reflects the conflicting viewpoints of modernist culture, of which American pragmatism is an early and important expression.

B John Ryder, "Class Relations and the State in Madison's Federalist 10"

Madison's political theory, especially as developed in Federalist 10, offers in the final analysis a class biased position. Such a claim has been made in the past by Beard, Parrington and others, but their respective analyses are open to serious objections. In short, Madison recognized that those who hold property and those who do not represent "distinct interests in society." Since justice and the common good are best served, on his view, by the protection of property rights, and thereby the perpetuation of the interests of the propertied, an adequate government must both embrace popular sovereignty and at the same time be so structured as to guarantee that the interests of the propertied class prevail. Madison is a historically important figure precisely because of the astuteness of his observations and the success of his prescriptions.

C William W. Clohesy, "Faction and Discourse in The Federalist"

The Federalist Papers hold forth a vision of political participation through a ceaseless and many-leveled discourse engaging local citizens and their representatives throughout a vast land of varied peoples. The Federalist argues that the United States is an ideal site for an attempt at self-government, because the dangers of faction inherent in all popular governments -- tyranny and anarchy -- can best be avoided here. First, the extensive territory and growing population make it unlikely that any faction can become strong enough to force all others into submission and dissolution. Second, the irreducible variety of citizens and their opinions requires a ceaseless discourse towards establishing a coalition for concerted action on specific purposes. These coalitions

are always unstable as new interest groups form and seek to gather the power of consensus for themselves.

- D. John Underwood Lewis, "Revolutionary Jurisprudence: An Exposition and Application of the Legal Theory of James Wilson, 1742-1798"

James Wilson, a founding father of the United States of America was unique in that he was not only a signer of the United States Declaration of Independence, but also of the United States Federal Constitution, and in addition he was a justice of the First United States Supreme Court. Justice Wilson's career included a period as an academician being responsible for developing and teaching the first systematic jurisprudence course in an American law school. Until the founders of the United States in being without a biography and only in 1967 did his lectures in law become available in critical edition. It is these lectures which form the basis for this paper.

- E. Robert S. Corrington, "Ordinal Metaphysics and the Eclipse of Foundationalism"

The ordinal metaphysics of Justus Buchler, with its recasting not only of our categories but of our very understanding of metaphysics, provides a way out of the foundationalist prison. The categorial framework does not stipulate some primitive form of 'whatness' nor isolate a privileged region of inquiry but provides a conceptual clearing through which complexes and orders can become rendered or renderable in human proception. By rejecting such notions as ontological simples, strict internal relation, an order of orders, static spatio-temporal identity, and essence, the ordinal framework brings us into the region where the multiple orders of Nature and World can be exhibited in a non-foundationalist manner. By radicalizing our understanding of ordinality (as the Providingness of orders) we can participate in the full eclipse of foundationalism.

- F. V. Tejera, "Buchler's Modes of Judgement and Aristotle's Kinds of Knowing"

A comparison between B's three modes of judgment and A's kinds of knowledge requires that misconceptions about the latter's distinctions be first dispelled. Just as judgments in the active, exhibitiv or assertive modes are NOT reducible to one another, so A's practical, theoretical and productive knowledges are different in kind and NOT reducible to, or

degrees of, one another. B's distinctions apply to all discriminanda or complexes whatsoever, whether things done (dromena) things said (legomena) or things made (poiēmata); while A's apply only to knowledgeable activities and are narrower in scope, being gnoseological rather than metaphysical distinctions, and not categorically comprehensive like B's distinctions between the modes of judgment. But re-examination of A's view of reflective doing and making vis-a-vis theorizing, in the light of B's analysis of judgment, restores practical and poetic nous (intelligence) to equal dignity and effectiveness with theoretical nous, thus correcting the bias inherited by our time from the Hellenistic age.

G. Mark Mendell, "James on the One and the Many"

James writes over and over again that he considers the problem of the one and the many to be "the most central of all philosophic problems, central because so pregnant." It is an overarching problem. Is the world and our experience of the world and its relations one, many, or both one and many? Is either more fundamental than the other? I argue that James thought that the world is both one and many; that despite his emphasis on the "pluralistic universe," he believed that the one is necessary in order to make sense out of the many. Both explicitly and implicitly, order, regularity, unity, and oneness do not drop out of James' epistemological, metaphysical, and psychological worldview. More specifically, I want to show that James viewed the one and the many as two fully coordinate hypotheses, even though the evidence for each of them was not equal. James' characterization of the problem invariably crops up as an unceasing polemic against the twin evils of associationism or sensationalism and intellectualism and absolute idealism, especially the latter. After a brief sketch of these two evils, I show how James' view developed in a positive way, from his early position in the Principles of Psychology on the unity and continuity of consciousness, to his mature application of the pragmatic method, where he displays his characteristic concern for the practical consequences of any belief, experience, activity, hypothesis, or theory.

H. Konstantin Kolenda, "Rorty's Dewey"

Pragmatism has received a considerable boost from Richard Rorty's recent writings. According to Rorty, John Dewey was right in turning away from the futile effort to construct a general theory of representation and championed a more realistic conception of knowledge "as what we are justified in believing." But Rorty misrepresents Dewey's recommended procedure for solving social and moral problems. He does not acknowledge that Dewey wanted such problems to be solved by a proper inquiry employing scientific method, a procedure

quite at odds with Rorty's own preference for "conversation" and "edifying discourse." Furthermore, both Dewey and Rorty fail to see that moral discourse does not reach its limit in appealing to the "norms of the day."

I. James Campbell, "Dewey and the Democratic Faith"

John Dewey is often thought to be an overly-optimistic thinker. This paper defends Dewey against this charge by exploring the nature of his meliorism and its grounding in faith in the democratic community, and re-assesses the value of such a faith for our present political situation.

J. Donald S. Lee, "A Pragmatic Theory of Natural Value"

The situations in which value predominate are not different in kind from any distinctively human action: present behavior is guided and modified by anticipations of future effects. G. H. Mead's analysis of the act provides a framework for grounding a general position on value common to John Dewey and C. I. Lewis. For them positive value is ultimately prized satisfaction, where the prizing involves conceptual recognition of the situation as consummatory and as falling within approach-accept-perpetuate behavior. This is intrinsic value, and value as inherent or instrumental or as the subject of evaluation is derivative. Goodness and desire are understood in relation to intrinsic value as thus characterized.

K. D. S. Clarke, Jr., "Resolving an Apparent Inconsistency in Dewey's Account of Deliberation"

This paper attempts to resolve the apparent inconsistency between 1) Dewey's insistence on distinguishing a person's desiring a certain state of affairs and the desirability of this state and 2) his rejection of a rational standard by which to evaluate what is desired. It does so by examining Dewey's account of practical deliberation considered as the use of a practical inference. I argue that Dewey's discussions of desirability are intended to be directed towards actions as means, not towards ends as "limits of judgment." It is because of the defeasibility of the conclusions of practical inferences that the desirability of performing a means can be questioned, but this is invariably done relative to other desires and aversions, not some rational standard. In the process of criticizing a means the end to which it is relative may also be criticized and eventually modified.

- L. Christopher Gowans , "C. I. Lewis' Critique of Foundationalism in Mind and the World Order"

It is commonly believed that C. I. Lewis was an epistemological foundationalist. This belief is partly true and partly false, depending on which stage of Lewis' career is being discussed. In the second book of his later work, An Analysis of Knowledge and Valuation (AKV), Lewis does defend a version of epistemological foundationalism. But the situation in his earlier book, Mind and the World Order (MWO), is less clear. There are enough similarities between the two books, and enough ambiguities within them, to make plausible the claim that that MWO is simply an earlier and less sophisticated version of the foundationalism of AKV. Nonetheless, if we read MWO closely, what we find is, in effect, a critique of foundationalism, not a defense of it. My purpose in this paper is to establish this claim.

- M. Robert L. Greenwood, "Can C. I. Lewis Uphold Realism?"

In his contribution to the Schilpp volume dedicated to C. I. Lewis, E. M. Adams claims that Lewis cannot consistently state his realism while also maintaining "subjectivism" and "verifiability." I attempt to show that Adams' criticisms are based on three misinterpretations of Lewis. First, Adams claims that Lewis asserts an intentional equivalence between objective statements and terminating judgments. I deny this claim and characterize the relationship as "Lewis implication." Second, Adams interprets Lewis as claiming that realism is "necessarily involved in considering contrary-to-fact conditional terminating statements meaningful and some of them true..." I maintain that Lewis believes realism is a sufficient condition for this. Third, Adams thinks Lewis is arguing for decisive verification of terminating judgments upon finding their consequent true. I believe Lewis intends only that such judgments be certainly true as probable on the evidence. If I am correct, Lewis can consistently uphold realism.

- N. William J. Gavin, "Regional Ontologies, Types of Meaning, and The Will to Believe in the Philosophy of William James"

There are at least two passages in the Jamesian corpus where he seems to establish a topology of "regional ontologies," or to set up multiple "language games." The first of these is in The Principles of Psychology when he talks about "the many worlds," or "...sub-universes commonly discriminated from each other ...," the second is in Pragmatism, where he notes that there "are ... at least three well-characterized levels, stages, or types of thought about the world we live in"

Two questions immediately come to mind about these levels. First, is each of these areas of equal importance, epistemologically and ontologically speaking? Second, how, if at all, are these regional areas related to each other? Each of these questions has a seemingly obvious answer. To wit, the world of perception is more important than any of the other areas; and second, the regional areas are related in terms of the disjunctive and conjunctive transitions which James so

strenuously upholds, resulting in an overall "concatenated" picture.

I argue that both of these responses are insufficient, and that the issue is more complicated than at first appears, for sometimes choice between two specific regional domains takes place partially for "extra-rational" reasons, a la the will to believe.

- O. Kenneth W. Stickers, "Charles Sanders Peirce's Sociology of Knowledge and Critique of Capitalism"

In this paper I suggest that, although Charles Sanders Peirce was not, strictly speaking, a social philosopher, nevertheless a deep social concern permeates his thinking.

Particular, Peirce offers numerous important contributions to the sociology of knowledge, insights into the fundamentally social nature of human thinking and reality itself. On the one side, to be reasonable means to be social; on the other side, reality resides only in communal inquiry, in human intersubjectivity. Moreover, these insights formed the basis for Peirce's sharp criticisms of American capitalism, criticisms which are not peripheral to his thought but valid conclusions drawn from his metaphysical doctrines and logic.

- P. John E. Peterman, "Plato and Dewey: A Common Faith"

This paper seeks a rehabilitation of Dewey, a better understanding of his approach to doing philosophy, in order to recover the direction he affords but unfortunately expressed in such tortured and often uninspiring prose. To accomplish this rehabilitation, I propose the returning of one favor for another. Dewey well understood the need for drama, poetry and the religious in any complete experience, but could not incorporate these into his own writings. His emphasis on the dramatic element in life helps us to take seriously the dramatic element in Plato's works and thus prevent Plato from becoming an extra-terrestrial Being, while Plato's exemplification of the construction and reconstruction of ideals in his dialogues gives us the practice in philosophising that Dewey pushes us towards but does not actually provide.

- Q. Morris Grossman, "Interpreting Peirce"

This essay is an exploration of the bride similes at the end of Peirce's essay, "The Fixation of Belief." It presumes that the implications and reverberations of Peirce's literary figure are deeper and wider than might at first have been thought. Some of those implications and reverberations are explained and exhibited. Four interpretations of Peirce are proposed and one is selected.

- R. William Woodward, "Some German Sources of American Philosophy: Apelt, Lotze, Peirce, James and Dewey"

The coalescence of British, German, and American philosophical traditions around the problem of the evolution and function of mind is the topic of this paper. It is customary to accept Darwin in particular, and Darwinism in general, as the intellectual force behind the movement toward pragmatism and instrumentalism in American philosophy. Yet on the basis of present evidence from the works of Charles Peirce, William James, and John Dewey, the conceptions of "abduction," "mental selection," and "instrumental logic" each have antecedents in the writings of Lotze, and through him in Apelt, Fries, Hegel, and Kant.

- S. George W. Stickel, "George Herbert Mead's Applications of Relativity: An Unfinished Work"

George Herbert Mead at the time of his death was working on what could have been his most significant contribution to pragmatic philosophy: the application of the theory of relativity to his own theory of the human mind. The relativity theory provides the transformation of understanding the differing perceptions persons have within the bounds of their individual experiences. Selected details of Mead's theory and applications are provided but a complete systematic development of this aspect of his philosophy is non-existent. This task remains for several individuals to extrapolate to provide different relative perceptions.