interests of actual inquirers. If science and the interests of scientists are enmeshed with other practices--economic, political, aesthetic, etc.--then our epistemology should reflect this fact. This, it seems to me, leads to bridging the artificial chasm that the received view has had the effect of constructing between science qua investigative method or body of knowledge, and science policy. The need to bridge this chasm is a point I think many contemporary philosophers, sociologists and others interested in science and technology studies agree upon, and they will find much support for this effort in Rescher's work.

University of Hawaii
Guy S. Axtell
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