

Moving to a Posthuman Technosphere

Steven Umbrello

Review of *Tecnosfera: Proiezioni per un Futuro Postumano*, by Roberto Marchesini. Rome: Castelvecchi, 2017; paperback, 256 pp., €22.00; 978-8832821680.

Historically, all narrative accounts of human *being* and identity take the form of a journey, a clear-cut and wholesome navigation around explicitly defined lands and territories. This causal holism—both for the genesis and for the genealogy of geographies—is what the Greeks termed *techne*, and art, craftsmanship or competence to bring an idea into being. Similarly, then *techne* is also *aletheia*, a revelation of something that is obscured or hidden and as such, it is also *apate*, a deceit.

This is how the Italian philosopher, ethologist, and prolific writer Roberto Marchesini begins *Tecnosfera: Proiezioni per un future posthuman* (literally *Technosphere: Projections for a Posthuman Future*). In doing so, Marchesini aims to explore the question of what the future of human beings is. To do this, he takes an unorthodox approach by exploring the concept of ‘technique’ (etymologically related to technology and *techne*) and as such may be able to anticipate better what may be waiting in the future.

The opening chapter begins by reconstructing the ominous *homo faber* (the building-human/tool-using human) and its techno-poetic disposition. This conception is starkly contrasted to that of the non-human, often background and ambient dimension of the animal world: the controllers versus the controlled (or built-upon). Doing this, Marchesini draws upon the more extensive posthumanist discourse on the critique of humanist dichotomies, i.e., man/animal, culture/nature, etc. What he argues, however, is somewhat more nuanced than what a lot of other topical texts tangle with. He argues that the techno-mediated praxis that has enabled humans to discard and *use* the ecological work is often juxtaposed with the world of animality which is controlled through the application of *techne*. The

former is rarely if ever put under scrutiny. However, the world of animality is often subjected to criticism in when its existence becomes inconvenient to the other.

His aim, then, is to show how this division is erroneous given its misinterpretation of *techne* that humanism has attributed to it. The humanistic conception of technology as something that *adds* to a human deficiency that the human is created posterior to the technology is in direct error to the reality that Marchesini proposes. *Techne*, then, is not human-based; instead, humanity as such is pushed to the periphery and decentred from the humanist pedestal of superiority. This theologically generated gravitation centre for the human subject dissolves, and the contingencies of the entanglements with other forms of life are unveiled. To this end, *techne* is anthropo-decentrative and an unveiling of animality.

The vision of the future opens up on the hinge of infinite contingencies, and the decentred human no longer has a substantial centre foundation from which it can dominate. Issues arise, however. It is difficult to escape the clutches of humanism and its philosophical impedimenta that the instruments of *techne* are passive slaves *for* the workings of humankind, things that can compensate for inherent shortcomings.

Regardless, the genuine ecological crisis, the economic realities and disparities provide an urgency for novel thinking and imagination of possible futures. This transition point that we find ourselves in forces us to reflect on *techne* and our relationship to it. To do this, Marchesini draws on the symbolical figure of the cyborg that is foundational to posthumanist discussions. The cyborg is emblematic because the conception of technology *as a tool* becomes untenable as a corporal and virtual integration make the boundaries of fleshy existence strange and jagged. This unique existential space of agency permits a plurality of phenomenologies to encounter one another. This is the Technosphere.

In sum, Marchesini's project is not that of critiquing *techne* per se, but to critique the humanist appropriation of the term and its material consequences. A re-imagination of *techne* in light of modern scientific advances, particularly in those of cybernetics and virtual reality, reveal an opening-up of the world rather than a closing down and separation of humanity from other forms of life. Enabling this novel way of thinking encourages novel future modalities to emerge.

Marchesini's project is unquestionably grandiose, and for the most part, he is successful in providing convincing historical, technical and genealogically arguments for his thesis. However, the text does get bogged down in what can be characterized as an increasingly complex and systematic set of technical references. To that end, *Tecnosfera* is not aimed at a general audience but preferably those who

are already familiar with much of the existent posthumanist discourse. This is exacerbated by the fact that the text currently exists only in its original Italian, which is a shame given the immensity and novelty of thought presented by Marchesini.

Other oddities present themselves also, such as the positioning of the table of contents at the back of the book under the title of 'index' and the curious lack of foundational texts. Regarding the latter, only passing mention is made of the work by Donna Haraway, and even then it is only her "Manifesto for Cyborgs" (1985). To be fair the manifesto is of particular relevance to Marchesini's aim, but her later works develop her original thesis to a greater extent as do the countless other works that constitute the 'cyborg discourse' that ensued from the manifesto's publication. Similarly, no work from Bruno Latour or Timothy Morton is cited or mentioned. The failure to evoke the former's work is particularly strange given Latour's flat ontology which is often discussed as the primary philosophical ontology for the decentering of the Anthropos.

Regardless, *Tecnosfera's* unique approach to posthumanism is a fresh and welcome addition to the ongoing discussions in philosophy, critical theory, anthropology and literary criticism among others. Although Marchesini provides many hypotheses regarding possible futures, he is clear that this reworking of *techne* makes any certainty futile given the openness of the future. To this end, the reevaluation of *techne* in *Tecnosfera* and its philosophical implications is where the real interest in the text lies, and it is not disappointing. Because of that, the book is definitely worth reading if one has the language capacity, but even then it will be a challenge given Marchesini's technical and stylistic depth. If the book were available in other languages for dissemination, there would be little that can impede its endorsement.

Reference

- Haraway, Donna. 1985. "A Manifesto for Cyborgs: Science, Technology, and Socialist Feminism in the 1980s." *Socialist Review* 80: 65–108.